SJS 44 (Rev. 12/07, NJ 5/08)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRICTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE PORM)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
BRIAN MASTERS				NCO FINANCIA	NANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC.			
(b) County of Residence	of First Listed Plaintiff			County of Residence of	of First Listed Defendant			
(c) Attorney's (Firm Na	me, Address, Telephone N	umber and Email Add	ress)	NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE				
Craig Thor Kimmel, E				LAND	INVOLVED.			
Kimmel & Silverman, 30 E. Butler Pike	P.C.			Attorneys (If Known)				
Ambler, PA 19002								
(215) 540-8888								
II. BASIS OF JURISI	DICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff		
☐ 1 U.S. Government ☑ 3 Federal Question Plaintiff (U.S. Government Not a Party)				TF DEF I Incorporated or Proof Business In The				
□ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	「 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citizo	en of Another State	2			
				en or Subject of a 💢 reign Country	3 (7) 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6		
IV. NATURE OF SUI		nly) RTS	FC	PREETURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	Y 🗇 61	0 Agriculture	☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment		
☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 315 Airplane Product	362 Personal Injury - Med. Malpractice		0 Other Food & Drug 5 Drug Related Seizure	☐ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust430 Banks and Banking		
☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	Liability	365 Personal Injury -		of Property 21 USC 88[☐ 450 Commerce		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	□ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal		0 Liquor Laws 0 R.R. & Truck	PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	☐ 460 Deportation ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and		
☐ 151 Medicare Act ☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	 330 Federal Employers' Liability 	Injury Product Liability		0 Airline Regs. 0 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit		
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine	PERSONAL PROPERT	ГҮ	Safety/Health	C. O-to Tribucing K	7 490 Cable/Sat TV		
(Excl. Veterans) 1 153 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 345 Marine Product Liability	☐ 370 Other Fraud ☐ 371 Truth in Lending	□ 690	0 Other LABOR	SOCIAL SECURITY	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/		
of Veteran's Benefits [] 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle	380 Other Personal Property Damage	J 710	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	Exchange B 875 Customer Challenge		
☐ 190 Other Contract	Product Liability	☐ 385 Property Damage		0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	12 USC 3410		
 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	360 Other Personal Injury	Product Liability	730	0 Labor/Mgmt,Reporting & Disclosure Act	☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts		
REAL PROPERTY 17 210 Land Condemnation	CIVIL RIGHTS 441 Voting	PRISONER PETITION ☐ 510 Motions to Vacate		0 Railway Labor Act 0 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS 17 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	892 Economic Stabilization Act B93 Environmental Matters		
☐ 220 Foreclosure	1 442 Employment	Sentence		l Empl. Ret. Inc.	or Defendant)	□ 894 Energy Allocation Act		
 □ 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment □ 240 Torts to Land 	☐ 443 Housing/ Accommodations	Habeas Corpus:		Security Act	26 USC 7609	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act		
245 Tort Product Liability	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 445 Amer, w/Disabilities -	535 Death Penalty		IMMIGRATION	1	☐ 900Appeal of Fee Determination		
7 290 All Other Real Property	Employment	□ 540 Mandamus & Othe□ 550 Civil Rights		2 Naturalization Application 3 Habeas Corpus -		Under Equal Access to Justice		
	 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other 	555 Prison Condition		Alien Detainee Other Immigration		☐ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes		
	440 Other Civil Rights			Actions		Sind dilates		
□ 1 Original □ 2 Re		Remanded from (7)	4 Reins	ened anothe	Perred from	, iviagistrate		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	• •		(SDech	(y) Il statutes unless diversity):	Judgment		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	Brief description of ca	แระ: ction Practices Ac	st .					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F,R,C,P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	DE	EMAND \$	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint: ☑ Yes □ No		
VIII. RELATED CASI	E(S) (See instructions):					The state of the s		
Explanation:	(cee materioris).	JUDGE		1	DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF	ATTEAD	NEY OF RECORD				
04/30/1	_	SIGNATURE OF	ZZ	THE POIL RECORD				

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

BRIAN MASTERS	3	:	CIVIL ACTION	
	v.	: :		
NCO FINANCIAL	SYSTEMS, INC.	:	NO.	
plaintiff shall compl filing the complaint a side of this form.) designation, that defo plaintiff and all other that defendant believ	ete a Case Management and serve a copy on all d In the event that a def endant shall, with its firs parties, a Case Manager res the case should be as	Track Destlefendants. Fendant doest appearance ment Track ssigned.	ay Reduction Plan of this court, countignation Form in all civil cases at the to (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the set of agree with the plaintiff regarding, submit to the clerk of court and served Designation Form specifying the track to NAGEMENT TRACKS:	time of reverse ng said on the
	Cases brought under 28			()
	Cases requesting review ices denying plaintiff So		ion of the Secretary of Health ty Benefits.	()
(c) Arbitration – Cas	ses required to be design	ated for arl	sitration under Local Civil Rule 53.2.	(X)
(d) Asbestos – Cases exposure to asbes		ersonal inju	ry or property damage from	()
commonly referre	ed to as complex and the everse side of this form t	at need spec	cks (a) through (d) that are cial or intense management by ed explanation of special	()
(f) Standard Manage	ement – Cases that do no	ot fall into a	ny one of the other tracks.	()
04/30/12 Date	Craig Thor Kimmel Attorney-at-law		Plaintiff, Brian Masters Attorney for	
215-540-8888 Telephone	877-788-2864 FAX Number		kimmel@creditlaw.com E-Mail Address	

Case 2:12-cv-02353-TJS Document 1 Filed 05/01/12 Page 3 of 9

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA — DESIGNATION FORM to assignment to appropriate calendar.	be used by counsel to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of
Address of Plaintiff. 3111 Parkerly, Apt. 247, At	1stin 1x 18141
	snam PA 19044
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: (Use Reverse Side For Accident, Incident or Transaction:	Additional Space)
Does this civil action involve a nongovernmental corporate party with any parent corporation a	and any publicly held corporation owning 10% or more of its stock?
(Attach two copies of the Disclosure Statement Form in accordance with Fed.R.Civ.P. 7.1(a)	· \
Does this case involve multidistrict litigation possibilities?	Ycs□ No
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:	Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when yes is answered to any of the following questions:	
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one y	rear previously terminated action in this court?
	Yes□ No Y
Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior action in this court?	suit pending or within one year previously terminated
action in this court:	Yes No X
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier	
terminated action in this court?	Yes No
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil right	ats case filed by the same individual?
	Yes No No
CIVIL; (Place ✓ in ONE CATEGORY ONLY)	
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts	 B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts
2. FELA	2. □ Airplane Personal Injury
3. □ Jones Act-Personal Injury	3. □ Assault, Defamation
4. Antitrust	4. □ Marine Personal Injury
5. D Patent	5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury
	6. Other Personal Injury (Please
6. □ Labor-Management Relations	specify)
7. □ Civil Rights	7. □ Products Liability
	8. Products Liability Asbestos
8. □ Habeas Corpus9. □ Securities Act(s) Cases	9. □ All other Diversity Cases
	(Please specify)
10. □ Social Security Review Cases 11. XAII other Federal Question Cases 5 S.C. \(\)	(i load specify)
(Please specify)	
ARBITRATION CERT	
CONOTON KIMMEL, counsel of record do hereby cert	ify:
☐ Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, Section 3(c)(2), that to the jest of my knowledge and	belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of
\$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs; Relief other than monetary damages is sought.	
Refler other than monetary damages is sought.	C_{1100}
DATE: 04 30 19	5 1100
Atterréy-at-Law NOTE: A trial de novo will be a trial by jury only if th	Attorney I.D.# ere has been compliance with F.R.C.P. 38.
Λ	
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is not related to any case now pending or except as noted above.	within one year previously terminated action in this court
N137/12 //N/	C1100 .
DATE: O SU (0) Aygricy-at-Lay	Attorney I.D.#
CIV. 609 (6/08)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA 3 BRIAN MASTERS, 4 Plaintiff 5 v. Case No.: 6 7 NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC., COMPLAINT AND DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 8 Defendant (Unlawful Debt Collection Practices) 9 10 COMPLAINT 11 BRIAN MASTERS ("Plaintiff"), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & 12 SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NCO FINANCIAL SYSTEMS, INC. 13 ("Defendant"): 14 15 INTRODUCTION 16 Plaintiff's Complaint is based on the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 1. 17 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA"), which prohibits debt collectors from engaging in abusive, 18 deceptive, and unfair practices. 19 20 21 JURISDICTION AND VENUE 22 Jurisdiction of this court arises pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d), which states 2. 23 that such actions may be brought and heard before "any appropriate United States district court 24 without regard to the amount in controversy," and 28 U.S.C. § 1331 grants this court original 25 jurisdiction of all civil actions arising under the laws of the United States.

- 3. Defendant conducts business and has an office in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, and therefore, personal jurisdiction is established.
 - 4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(1).

PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Austin, Texas 78741.
- 6. Plaintiff is a "consumer" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- 7. Defendant is a national debt collection company with its corporate headquarters located at 507 Prudential Road in Horsham, Pennsylvania 19044.
- 8. Defendant is a "debt collector" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6), and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff in an attempt to collect a debt.
- 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors, heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 11. At all relevant times, Defendant was attempting to collect an alleged consumer debt and repeatedly contacted Plaintiff when attempting to collect the alleged debt.
- 12. Upon information and belief, the alleged debt at issue, a Capital One credit card, arose out of transactions, which were primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.
- 13. Beginning in or around June 2011, and continuing through March 2012, Defendant repeatedly and continuously contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone in its attempt to collect a consumer debt.
 - 14. During the relevant period, Defendant contacted Plaintiff, on average, two (2) to

five (5) times a day.

- 15. As a result, Plaintiff received more than ten (10) collection calls a week during the relevant period.
- 16. Plaintiff received numerous collection calls from the following telephone number: (888) 289-4757, which the undersigned has confirmed is a telephone number belonging to Defendant.
- 17. In addition to calling Plaintiff, Defendant would also leave voice mail messages on Plaintiff's home answering machine every day telling Plaintiff to contact Defendant.
- 18. At first, Plaintiff answered Defendant's telephone calls and instructed Defendant to stop contacting him; however, Defendant ignored Plaintiff's instructions and continued to contact him.
- 19. Then, Plaintiff tried answering Defendant's telephone calls and hanging up; however, Defendant would immediately call him back, having the intent to harass Plaintiff.
 - 20. Most recently, Defendant contacted Plaintiff on March 28, 2012, at 10:30 a.m.
 - 21. Additionally, Plaintiff spoke with one of Defendant's collectors.
- 22. During that conversation, Defendant's collector deceptively told Plaintiff that not paying the debt will cause him to lose his job.
- 23. Further, Defendant's collector threatened Plaintiff that Defendant would file suit against him if he did not pay the alleged debt.
- 24. At the time Defendant's collector made this statement to Plaintiff, Defendant did not intend to take legal action against Plaintiff. Moreover, upon information and belief, Defendant did not have authorization from the creditor to take legal action against Plaintiff.
 - 25. Finally, Defendant was seeking to collect approximately \$2,300.00 from Plaintiff.

- 26. Upon information and belief, the amount Defendant was seeking to collect included amounts, interest, fees, etc., which were not authorized by the agreement creating the debt, as the credit limit on the account was only \$1,200.00.
- 27. Defendant's actions in attempting to collect the alleged debt were harassing, abusive and highly deceptive.

DEFENDANT VIOLATED THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT

28. In its actions to collect a disputed debt, Defendant violated the FDCPA in one or more of the following ways:

COUNT I

- a. A debt collector violates §1692d of the FDCPA by engaging in conduct the natural consequence of which is to harass, oppress, or abuse any person in connection with the collection of a debt.
- b. A debt collector violates §1692d(5) of the FDCPA by causing a telephone to ring or engaging any person in telephone conversation repeatedly or continuously with intent to annoy, abuse, or harass any person at the called number.
- c. Here, Defendant violated §§1692d and 1692d(5) of the FDCPA, when it contacted Plaintiff on his home telephone, on average, two (2) to five (5) times a day between January 2012 through March 2012, with the intent to annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff.
- d. Further, Defendant violated §1692d by continuing to contact Plaintiff on his home telephone after having been instructed by Plaintiff to stop calling Plaintiff. When contacting Plaintiff, Defendant had the intent to annoy, abuse

21

22

23 24

25

and harass him.

e. Lastly, Defendant violated §1692d by immediately calling Plaintiff back after Plaintiff answered the phone and hung up, knowing that it would annoy, abuse and harass Plaintiff to do so.

COUNT II

- a. A debt collector violates §1692e of the FDCPA by using false, deceptive or misleading representations or means in connection with the collection of any debt.
- b. A debt collector violates §1692e(5) of the FDCPA by threatening to take any action that cannot legally be taken or that is not intended to be taken.
- c. A debt collector violates §1692e(10) of the FDCPA by using false representations or deceptive means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- d. Here, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(5) by threatening to take legal action against Plaintiff when it did not intent not to do, and upon information and belief, did not have authorization from the creditor to take legal action against Plaintiff.
- e. Also, Defendant violated §§1692e and 1692e(10) by falsely claiming that Plaintiff would lose his job if he did not pay the alleged debt.

COUNT III

- a. A debt collector violates §1692f of the FDCPA by using unfair or unconscionable means to collect or attempt to collect any debt.
- b. Here, Defendant violated §1692f of the FDCPA engaging in other unfair and unconscionable debt collection practices, including collecting an amount more

Case 2:12-cv-02353-TJS	Document 1	Filed 05/01/12	Page 9 of 9
------------------------	------------	----------------	-------------

than twice the credit limit on the account.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, BRIAN MASTERS, respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a. All actual compensatory damages suffered pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(1);
- b. Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for the violation of the FDCPA pursuant to 15 U.S.C. \$1692k(a)(2)(A);
- c. All reasonable attorneys' fees, witness fees, court costs and other litigation costs incurred by Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1693k(a)(3); and
- d. Any other relief deemed appropriate by this Honorable Court.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, BRIAN MASTERS, demands a jury trial in this case.

DATED: 04 30 12

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C.

By:

CRAIG THOR KIMMEL Attorney ID # 57100

30 E. Butler Pike Ambler, PA 19002

Phone: (215) 540-8888

Fax: (877) 788-2864

Email: kimmel@creditlaw.com

23

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

.19

20

21

22

24

25